**1.** **Patient Identification, preparation and care**

**CL1.1**

1. Notes and/or referral letter should be read prior to approaching patient to confirm examination type.
2. Patient should be identified in the waiting area by name alone.
3. Patient should be directed to the examination room with aid from the clinical vascular scientist, CVS, (if necessary). If patient is a child or vulnerable adult then always scan in the presence of a parent/carer.
4. Once in the examination room, the CVS should identify themselves, and then the patient details should be confirmed by name, date of birth, and address. These details should be added to the examination sheet.
5. Patient should be asked what symptoms they have been experiencing or ‘do they know why they are here?’
6. CVS should explain briefly what they intend to do, gain verbal informed consent and put the patient at ease. For examination using contrast agents written consent should be obtained.
7. If consent not obtained patient should be directed back to ward/physician or A&E etc. Report on database patient attended but refused scan and any details surrounding visit. Log refusal on incident log on shared drive.
8. Patient is then asked to remove any necessary clothing or jewellery (with help of CVS if required). Explain that the gel is hypo-allergenic and water soluble so will not stain clothes.
9. CVS should assist the patient on to the examination couch and ensure patient is comfortable, (do not lift patients – mandatory manual handling instruction).
10. Examination is performed as per relevant protocol.
11. Patient should be assisted off the couch once they feel able, (do not lift patients). CVS should warn the patient that they may feel dizzy or lightheaded if they sit up too quickly.
12. CVS should explain where the results will be forwarded and who will explain the results. CVS could estimate a timeframe for the results to reach the referring clinician. CVS should not explain the outcome of the examination useless specifically directed by referring clinician.
13. CVS needs to arrange equipment to ensure maximum possible comfort and to reduce the likelihood of musculo-skeletal injury.
14. If there is an unexpected diagnosis that requires urgent clinical management then staff should understand the importance of contacting the vascular team on-call and trying to get the patient an urgent vascular opinion. See ‘Red Flag policy’ on shared drive.
15. If you require to mark the skin, please use the sterile disposal pens and tape measures available. Do not use normal pens to mark the leg – this is a cross infection risk.
16. It is standard policy to issue a report as soon as possible after the completion of the report. Reports from all patients are issued either in an electronic or paper format within 8 hours of completion of the vascular ultrasound report. If inpatient or Red Flag patient the vascular ultrasound report is placed in the notes or placed electronically on the host Trust wide reporting system within 10 minutes. If a Red Flag patient then the report will be immediately faxed to the consultant with a follow up phone call to ensure that is has arrived.

**CL1.2**

**2. Basic guidelines**

**Basic colourflow set-up**

Whilst visualising a vessel optimum colourflow is described as wall-to-wall filling of the vessel without colourflow scatter outside the vessel wall. This can be achieved by selecting the appropriate default setting, steering the colourflow box and adjusting the colourflow gain, wall filter and colourflow velocity functions. In addition, the colour velocity range needs to be set to allow slight aliasing.

**Velocity measurements**

The Doppler sample volume is placed in the area of fastest flow (as indicated by the colourflow map). The angle correct line should be set at 60 degrees and should lie parallel to the blood flow achieved by ‘tip-toe’ the transducer movement. If transducer movement cannot achieve parallel flow then the angle correct line should be altered to lie parallel with the blood flow, (but angle should be less than 60 degrees).

**Safety of Ultrasound and ALARA Principle**

There are two documented potential mechanisms for ultrasound to cause harm to patients. These are heating of soft tissue and cavitation2,4,12.

Both of these bio-effects are related to output intensity and exposure time to ultrasound.

The potential for thermal heating is displayed as the TI or thermal index and the potential for cavitation as MI or mechanical index.

There are three options for TI, being TIS – thermal index in soft tissue, TIB – thermal index with focus close to bone and TIC for trans-cranial imaging applications2.

There are no documented index thresholds for the different modality and control settings. The principle universally accepted by all ultrasound practitioners is the ALARA or ‘As low as reasonably achievable’ principle. This means that the total output energy applied to the patient must be kept as low as possible by reducing output power to its lowest level without compromising on image quality and by limiting exposure time without rushing a scan12.

It is the clinical vascular scientists’ responsibility to control the total energy emitted to the patient and must reconcile exposure time with diagnostic image quality12.

1. **Extra-cranial carotid/ brachio-cephalic/ subclavian/ vertebral assessment**

**CL1.3**

Probe types – 12 - 3MHz

Measurements – Velocities in centimetres per second (cm/s), diameter (transverse; anterior-posterior, medial-lateral) in centimetres (cm) (if dilated/pre-op), length of disease (longitudinal) in cm.

Patient positioning and scanning approach – patients can be scanned supine or in a sitting position. A supine approach with the vascular scientist sat behind the patient’s head allows easy access to the neck and reduces the risk of RSI (repetitive strain injury) as the operator can rest their arm on the pillow or on the head of couch. The patient extends the neck and turns the head in the opposite direction to the side being assessed.

Both sides of the neck are always assessed1.

The carotid arteries can be viewed from a lateral or antero-lateral approach using the sternocleidomastoid muscle as an acoustic window2.

**B-mode assessment**

Intimal B-mode assessment is performed to achieve an accurate picture of the anatomy and identify the location of the carotid bifurcation as well as the presence of any plaque morphology2, 3.

Using B-mode, the common carotid artery (CCA) should be imaged in cross-section (transverse plane) and traced proximally to the clavicle until the subclavian artery is visualised. The distal brachio-cephalic artery may be visualised on the right side of the neck. On the left side, the origin of the CCA and subclavian arteries will not be visualised due to depth. The CCA should then be scanned along its length to the level of the bifurcation where the internal carotid artery (ICA) and external carotid artery (ECA) are visualised from their origins as far distal as possible.

The same method should then be repeated in longitudinal plane2.

**Colourflow assessment**

Using the Colourflow modality, the CCA is scanned longitudinally where it is traced from the proximal section at the level of the clavicle to the distal section where the bifurcation, ICA and ECA are visualised as far distal as possible.

Colour should be used to identify ECA branches, filling defects, occlusion and velocity changes/ turbulence, although diagnosis should not be made using colour Doppler alone2,3.

**Grading plaque morphology – greyscale echogenicity**

Switching to the greyscale imaging mode, a note can be made of the site, type and extent of plaque morphology.

The subclavian is visualised along its length in longitudinal section. The CCA, ICA and ECA are then viewed in cross-section and longitudinally. As soft plaque has the same echogenicity as blood, colourflow is the best modality for identification.

Soft plaque – associated with higher lipid content or thrombus. May have an anechoic or echolucent appearance similar to that of blood/fluid2,3

Mixed plaque – variable/ heterogenous appearance of mixed or random echoes with some echogenic and some echolucent areas2,3.

Dense plaque – homogenous appearance of bright white echoes4.

Calcified plaque – acoustic shadowing cast from the hardened plaque2,3.

Irregular – broken or irregular luminal surface but not generally an indication of ulceration16.

Ulcerative – an area of mixed plaque forming a ‘crater’ of at least 2mm depth. May be seen in cross-section as a ‘hook’ of mixed plaque surrounding soft plaque, or with blood visibly swirling within the crater2,3.

**Doppler assessment**

In the absence of significant disease, peak systolic velocity (PSV) measurements are taken from the CCA (1-2cm proximal to bifurcation) 1, 2, ICA and ECA. If the peak velocities are raised above 1.3m/s then the end-diastolic velocity (EDV) is also measured.

If significant plaques have been identified using B-mode and colour flow Doppler then further spectral Doppler samples are taken to investigate velocity increases and analyse the degree of stenosis in particular vessel. Stenosis in the ICA is graded using the criteria explained below. Atypical waveform profiles should also be noted2, 3.

In cross-section, the CCA is traced proximal towards the clavicle and the transducer is angled beneath the clavicle until the subclavian artery is viewed in longitudinal section. The subclavian is traced as far proximal and distal as possible making note of areas of turbulence or narrowing. The PSV is measured using Doppler ultrasound. A second Doppler reading is taken as far distal as possible and the waveform characteristics are recorded (e.g. triphasic, biphasic, monophasic, turbulent, damped etc.).

Velocities in kinked arteries are less reliable as vessel tortuosity can raise velocities17. Care must be taken to ensure that the angle is correct to blood flow rather than the vessel3. In reporting, it will be stated ‘peak velocities indicate x% - y% stenosis but no plaque morphology noted.

**Grading degree of carotid stenosis**

**Normal Velocities**:

**ICA:**

* average (avg) PSV = 54 – 88cm/s (distal to bulb)4
* avg PSV = 74cm/s, avg EDV = 29cm/s (distal to bulb)5
* velocity slightly elevated if patient hypertensive6
* maximum PSV noted in normal = 115cm/s7

**ECA:**

* avg PSV ~=77cm/s (normally <115cm/s)4
* avg PSV = 84cm/s, avg EDV = 16cm/s 5
* ECA velocities can be elevated by an ipsilateral ICA occlusion4

**CCA:**

* avg PSV = 60 – 100cm/s8
* avg PSV = 108 +/- 18 cm/s (mean +/-S.D.)9
* avg PSV = 78-108 cm/s 7
* avg PSV = 99cm/s, avg EDV = 27cm/s 5
* on average, PSV in L CCA exceeds PSV in R CCA by 5cm/s 9
* velocity slightly elevated if patient hypertensive6

**Carotid Criteria**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Diameter Stenosis** | **Morphology** | **ICA PSV**  **(cm/s)** | **ICA EDV (cm/s)** | **PSVica/**  **PSVcca** | **St Mary’s ratio** |
| **<25%** | **Normal** | **<130** | **<40** |  |  |
| **<30%** | **Intimal**  **Thickening** | **<130** | **<40** |  |  |
| **<30%** | **Plaque** | **<130** | **<40** |  |  |
| **<40%** | **Plaque** | **<130** | **<40** |  |  |
| **<50%** | **Plaque** | **<130** | **<40** | **<2** | **<8** |
| **50-59%** | **Plaque** | **>130** | **<40** | **<3.2** | **8.0-10** |
| **60-69%** | **Plaque** | **>130** | **<40** | **3.2-4.0** | **11-13** |
| **70-79%** | **Plaque** | **>230** | **110-140** | **>4.0** | **14-21** |
| **80-89%** | **Plaque** | **>230** | **>140** | **>4.0** | **22-29** |
| **90-95%** | **Plaque** | **>400** | **>140** |  | **>30** |
| **96-99%** | **Plaque** | **Trickle flow** | | | **Variable** |
| **100%** | **Plaque** | **Absence of flow** | | | **N/A** |

Sidhu and Allan. Ultrasound Assessment of Internal Carotid Artery Stenosis. Clinical Radiology, (1997) 52, 654-658. (Developed using data from Moneta et al. 1993, 1995).

CP Oates et al. Joint recommendations for Reporting Carotid Ultrasound Investigations in the UK. EurJ Vasc Endovasc Surg (2008) 20, 1-11.

Criteria are only reliable for internal carotid artery stenosis³.

ICA peak systolic velocities are less reliable in the presence of CCA disease and ratios should be used. The use of the ICA: CCA PSV ratio normalises ICA PSV measurements² ³.

Elevated velocities can be produced in the CCA, ICA19 and ECA in the presence of contralateral CCA or ICA stenosis or occlusion.

A significant proximal (CCA origin or brachio-cephalic) ipsilateral stenosis can reduce velocities in the CCA, ICA and ECA.

Aortic stenosis can reduce the velocities in the CCA only.

Peak systolic velocities from large carotid bulbs may be unreliable, estimate degree of stenosis using grey scale and diameter/area reduction measurement.

**Doppler Waveforms**:

* 1. CCA waveform has a low-resistance pattern (most of the CCA flow goes to the brain). Note that a small amount of post systolic flow reversal (giving rise to a triphasic waveform) is normal; reversal of flow evident for more than 50% of the duration of diastole should be regarded as abnormal (see point 5 below)10.
  2. Normal ICA waveform has low-resistance pattern (all of the ICA flow goes to brain)18.

3. Normal ECA waveform has a high-resistance pattern (vessel supplies a high resistance vascular bed). Note the prominent dicrotic notch, which represents closure of the aortic valve and the onset of diastole10.

4. Severe proximal stenosis (innominate artery, CCA origin, aortic valve) produces a damped waveform (“tardus-parvus”, where tardus infers the pulse is slow to rise and fall and parvus infers a small pulse.)4, 8. Essentially, the acceleration time to systole is increased, hence the slope of the systolic upstroke is reduced, and there is blunting and smoothing of the sharp peak representing a reduction in waveform pulsatility9. This effect is usually most prominent in the CCA, but is also sometimes seen in the ICA & ECA. Note that in the case of aortic valve disease or diminished cardiac output, damping is symmetrical (seen in both CCAs)4.

5. Severe aortic incompetence with or without the presence of significant aortic stenosis often produces either a bisferious (two systolic peaks, well separated from the dicrotic notch, with the second peak being the same height as or higher than the first) waveform10, or persistent reverse diastolic flow in the CCA, or both. Note that these effects are not usually seen in the ICA, but are evident in both the CCA & ECA.

6. Significant stenosis or occlusion of the distal CCA or the ICA causes a high-resistance ipsilateral CCA waveform; reverse flow is evident and often there is complete loss of end diastolic flow. Note that significant ECA disease does not usually impact on the CCA waveform due to its relatively low flow volume4.

**External Carotid Artery Assessment**

From searching the literature (pubmed, medline, science direct, quest) there is no evidence of a radiologically validated method for grading ECA disease using a velocity criteria.

There is normally little requirement for the grading of ECA disease due to its highly branched vascular network and non-cerebral involvement13,15. In cases where a patient experiences cerebral or ocular symptoms in the presence of ipsilateral ICA occlusion it may be useful to grade and characterise ECA disease as a possible cause of emboli and transient ischaemic attack (TIA). There is much published evidence extolling the benefit of surgical or radiological intervention for the treatment of ECA disease where there is ipsilateral ICA occlusion and a thorough examination of disease is important in these cases13,14,15.

At present staff use a visual estimation and/or use of electronic callipers to measure degree and extent of stenotic disease.

In the presence of an ICA occlusion, electronic callipers should be used in the transverse and longitudinal planes to measure degree of ECA stenosis. Length of stenosis, plaque characterisation and degree of turbulence should also be recorded in the report.

**Vertebral artery assessment**

The vertebral artery (VA) can be viewed if the transducer is angled posterior. The flow direction should be the same as the carotid flow direction and is checked using the colourflow, **but more** importantly the Doppler sample volume. Vertebral flow is graded as orthograde, oscillatory (i.e. reversed in either systole or diastole alone) or retrograde2,3. If no colourflow is identified within the vessel lumen – use spectral or power Doppler to investigate as it is more sensitive than colourflow4.

**Normal Velocities:**

* avg PSV = 20-40 cm/s2,3
* PSV<10cm/s should be regarded as potentially abnormal4
* Higher velocities may be normal in the dominant VA of an asymmetric pair.2,3
* Higher velocities may be normal with contralateral VA occlusion. 2,3

**Doppler Waveforms:**

1. Normal VA waveform has a low-resistance pattern (supplying the brain), with cephalad flow throughout the cycle2,4.
2. If the VA has a high-resistance, antegrade (cephalic) flow pattern, there is probably a significant obstruction distal to the site of examination. (The second most common site of VA atheroma is intracranially, just beyond the C1 arch)3.
3. Severe proximal stenosis produces a damped waveform; note that the most common site of VA atheroma is the VA origin, although this can be difficult to image as it originates from the posterior aspect of the subclavian artery3.
4. Subclavian artery origin stenosis can have varying effects on the VA waveform shape and the direction of flow, dependent on the degree of stenosis and the presence of other collateral pathways.
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